

Economics, Arbitration and Technology: Digitalization Trends in Nordic Dispute Resolution

Junaid Sattar Butt¹, Farzana Kousar²

Abstract

Arbitration is a dispute resolution process where parties agree to submit a dispute to one or more arbitrators who make a binding decision, avoiding the need for a court appearance. In recent years, the integration of digital solutions into arbitration processes has gained momentum worldwide, promising greater efficiency, accessibility, and transparency. The Nordic region's robust legal systems and innovation-driven economies are driving the fusion of technology and arbitration in dispute resolution, revolutionizing the process. Issues concerning intellectual property, data privacy, and online contracts are becoming increasingly prevalent. The study will explore the changing arbitration environment and look at how the digitization of the industry is creating new kinds of disputes, exploring how these three elements – arbitration, economic trends, and technological advancements – are shaping the future of how disagreements are settled in the Nordic region. Drawing upon a multidisciplinary approach, this research examines how digital solutions are transforming arbitration processes in Nordic countries, from online case management platforms to virtual hearings and electronic evidence management systems. Through empirical analysis, case studies, and comparative assessment, the study evaluates the economic implications of digitalization for Nordic arbitration, including its impact on cost-efficiency, accessibility, and the attractiveness of Nordic jurisdictions as arbitration venues. Moreover, the paper examines the evolving regulatory landscape governing technology-enabled arbitration in Nordic jurisdictions, identifying key legal considerations, procedural implications, and best practices for practitioners and stakeholders. Finally, this research offers insights into the intersection of arbitration, the economy, and technology in the Nordic context, enhancing our understanding of the evolving dynamics of dispute resolution in an increasingly

¹ Master of Laws, PGIL, the University of Lahore, and Law Officer at Malik Law Associates, Lahore, PAKISTAN. Corresponding Author: junaidstarrbutt@yahoo.com, Cell: +92 333 427 0989.

²M.Phil (Environmental Sciences), Department of Environmental Science, International Islamic University, Islamabad. Researchgate: <https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Farzana-Kousar-9>

digital world and providing practical guidance for navigating the complexities of modern arbitration practice.

Keywords: Arbitration Technology, Economy, Digitalization, Nordic States, Alternative Dispute Resolution

Background

Controversies between sovereign states that aren't resolved through diplomatic negotiation are often resolved by a third party who arbitrates the dispute with binding force. The arbitration method has a long history, dating back to ancient Greece and the Middle Ages, with the modern development of international arbitration dating back to the signing of the Jay Treaty in 1794. In the 19th century, numerous arbitral agreements, including the Alabama claims arbitration under the Treaty of Washington (1871), aimed to resolve outstanding issues between the two countries, which settled claims arising from Great Britain's failure to maintain neutrality during the American Civil War. The International Peace Conference of 1899 established the Convention (II) concerning the Laws and Customs of War on Land and its annex: Regulations concerning the Laws and Customs of War on Land for international arbitration, which was later revised in 1907. The Permanent Court of Arbitration, composed of jurists appointed by member governments, was established in 1899. The PCA has a three-part structure: an Administrative Council for policy and budget management, a panel of independent arbitrators called Members of the Court, and an International Bureau led by the Secretary-General. Since 1923, the International Court of Arbitration has offered services for controversies between states and individuals or corporations, extending its original focus to disputes between states. Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the process of transforming natural language into machine learning, managed by an algorithm. The evolution of Economics, Arbitration, and Technology has propelled the Nordic countries to the forefront of technology adoption in dispute resolution. Traditional methods have been replaced by digital platforms, enhancing transparency, accessibility, and efficiency while reducing costs and expediting resolutions.

Introduction

The advancement in AI systems' technical capabilities has resulted in increased deployment rates in various sectors, including businesses and governments. In recent years, these mechanisms have been undergoing a significant transformation driven by the accelerating pace of technological advancements as technology is transforming interactions between individuals, businesses, and governments, presenting both opportunities and risks, including increased disputes. Volker Türk, UN High Commissioner for Human Rights, emphasized the importance of AI regulation based on human rights, contrasting risk-based and rights-embedded approaches. It calls for

governments and companies to prioritize community involvement, assess human rights risks, ensure transparency, and implement existing safeguards. It also calls for democratic processes and international advisory bodies. The landscape of international commerce is undergoing a significant transformation driven by globalization and technological advancements. Arbitration has become a preferred alternative to traditional litigation in the interconnected world due to its perceived advantages in speed, confidentiality, and enforceability of awards, making it an efficient and reliable dispute resolution mechanism. Richard Susskind introduced the term "Online Courts" and the COVID pandemic has also led to a shift towards online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms for mediators and arbitrators. Innovation-driven technologies have transformed international dispute resolution, with international arbitration adapting to these changes. Despite challenges, e-arbitral awards and remote hearing platforms ensure efficiency and effectiveness. International arbitration is utilizing new technologies to enhance efficiency, reduce costs, and expand market segments. However, the widespread use of these technologies may result in new and complex disputes due to unique technology features or slow regulation development. The Nordic countries have a long-standing reputation for efficient and sophisticated dispute-resolution mechanisms.

This research explores the intersection of economics, arbitration, and technology, focusing on digitalization trends in Nordic dispute resolution. Recent Development with the enactment of **the Artificial Intelligence Act, of 2024** by the European Parliament marks a significant step towards ensuring safety, compliance with fundamental rights, and innovation in AI development with aims to create a global single market for global competitiveness and data sovereignty. The regulation aims to safeguard democracy, the rule of law, and environmental sustainability by prohibiting certain AI applications that pose threats to citizens' rights. The AI Act emphasizes transparency requirements for general-purpose AI systems and supports innovation, particularly for SMEs, reflecting the EU's commitment to responsible AI governance centered on human values. This Act aims to create a trustworthy and innovative society and has significant implications for the Nordic countries, known for their progressive technology adoption. The research investigates how digitalization is enhancing the economic efficiency of arbitration in the Nordic region by reducing litigation costs and delays. The study focuses on Denmark, Finland, Norway, Sweden, and Iceland, and includes comparative analysis with the USA and the EU. The Nordic countries' progressive policies and innovation-friendly environments make them ideal for examining the impact of digitalization on arbitration practices. The research explores trends such as online case management platforms, remote hearings via video conferencing, and AI applications in document review and contract analysis. The goal is to assess the economic benefits of these digitalization trends to optimize the arbitration process and enhance its value in the Nordic region.

Research Questions

In the era of digitalization, the Nordic region, known for its progressive approach, is likely witnessing significant transformations in dispute resolution mechanisms. This research delves into the economic, legal, and technological implications of these digitalization trends within the context of Nordic arbitration. By exploring how these trends are shaping arbitration practices, we aim to identify emerging research questions that will shed light on the evolving landscape of dispute resolution in the Nordic countries.

How has the digitalization of dispute resolution processes impacted the cost and time efficiency of arbitration in Nordic countries?

How has digitization affected the accessibility of arbitration for businesses and individuals in the Nordic region?

How are Nordic legal frameworks adapting to the digitalization of arbitration?

What new skills and expertise do arbitrators and lawyers need to develop in the digital age of Nordic arbitration?

What best practices can be shared between Nordic countries and other jurisdictions regarding the digitalization of arbitration?

Literature Review

This literature review explores the impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on productivity and economic growth in Nordic welfare states. It examines existing studies on AI adoption and theoretical perspectives, focusing on the unique characteristics of these nations. The review aims to provide a conceptual framework for understanding AI's role in driving productivity and economic growth in Nordic welfare states. The study by Leikin, et al., (2024) highlights the significant impact of technology on various sectors, including increased risk of disputes. The arbitration community actively manages these risks through new model clauses. Landay, et al., (2024) at the World Economic Forum in Davos, Switzerland highlighted the impact of AI on work dynamics, business strategies, and productivity. They also called for a balance between innovation and governance. Luccioni, et al., (2023) found that BLOOM's training run emitted 25 times more carbon than a single air traveler, highlighting the potential of AI systems to optimize energy usage. The study by Katharine-Miller (2024) shows AI models using Google Street View images can identify visual indicators of gentrification, enabling early identification and intervention. The study by Hafez (2023) explores the increasing acceptance of remote

hearings in the arbitration community, highlighting their practical benefits such as time savings, reduced administrative burdens, and environmental sustainability.

The article by Gaumond, et al., (2023) predicts the emergence of hybrid procedures combining in-person and remote elements in international arbitration, viewing remote hearings as an evolutionary change. It discusses the potential impact of AI on human rights, including privacy and anti-discrimination concerns. Weng Jian, an arbitrator from the Guangzhou Arbitration Commission, states that AI assistants can provide pre-court guidance on identity recognition, document maintenance during trial proceedings, and other related cases. This study Chumba & Victor, (2024) explores environmental justice, its relationship with conflict resolution, and its promotion of sustainability, focusing on a case study highlighting adverse environmental effects and the role of ADR. Further Studies by Jo Feldman, et al., (2023) revealed that International arbitration is a crucial method for resolving cross-border disputes, with an average dispute value of US\$184 million. Investors win 60% of claims against host governments, covering issues like licensing delays and regulatory changes. Arbitral awards are financial assets, assignable and tradable, offering lenders monetization and asset security. Enforceability of awards enhances recovery prospects, leading to a secondary market for award trading. Recent developments in the shape of the E.U. Artificial Intelligence Act, 2024 read with the European Union General Data Protection Regulation given that any data privacy violations could result in large fines. The study Prifti, et al., (2024) shows that the EU is addressing AI governance challenges through hybrid governance methods like Hybrid Experiential Standards (HES) but faces legitimacy issues due to private technocracy and lack of transparency.

The study Butt (2023) explores the use of Artificial Intelligence in administrative decision-making as a complex issue requiring ethical and legal considerations. While it offers benefits like improved efficiency and cost savings, it also poses risks. The study Scott (2024) emphasizes the comprehensive nature of Digital Hearings, highlighting its value in distilling the expertise of industry professionals and academics into an accessible anthology and objectively assessing the strengths and weaknesses of digital proceedings, presenting a mode of thinking about arbitration as a discipline. The study Pöysti (2024) discusses the precautionary approach design pattern in law, highlighting its practical applications in addressing risk governance challenges related to new technologies. Research paper Jones & Kate (2024) emphasized the importance of integrating human rights into AI governance, recommending actions for companies, governments, civil society, and investors. These include promoting AI ethics, integrating human rights into AI regulation, educating the public, and integrating AI into development activities. The study De'Shazer & Michael, (2024) introduces a new approach to legal reasoning using artificial intelligence (AI) to address complexities in global jurisprudence. It uses Semi-Automated Arbitration Processes (SAAPs) to enhance legal analysis and

decision-making. A study by Łągiewska, (2023) elaborates that Innovation-driven technologies are transforming international dispute resolution, with international arbitration being particularly agile in adapting to changing norms.

The literature review highlights several gaps: a lack of specific focus on AI trends and digitalization in Nordic welfare states, an absence of comprehensive analysis on the economic implications of AI in dispute resolution, limited exploration of regulatory frameworks for technology-enabled arbitration, insufficient analysis of AI's impact on human rights within arbitration practices, and a need for more research on hybrid arbitration procedures in the Nordic context.

Case Laws

The *Soleymani v Nifty Gateway LLC* and *Payward v Chechetkin* cases involve US companies attempting to enforce US-seated arbitration agreements in UK courts. The cases of *Soleymani* and *Payward* highlight the difficulties associated with enforcing arbitration clauses requiring disputes to be resolved in the US. The English Court of Appeal's hesitation regarding the validity of such arbitration clauses in *Soleymani*, coupled with the English High Court's denial of enforcing an arbitral award in *Payward* due to concerns about UK public policy, reflect the complexities involved in applying international arbitration to disputes involving UK consumer laws. These cases emphasize the importance of carefully considering jurisdictional issues and the implications of arbitration clauses in contracts between UK consumers and global tech companies operating online platforms. Some jurisdictions have required lawyers to disclose their use of AI but no uniform standard for regulating such uses exists. AI has significantly impacted businesses and arbitration practices, with Sara Merken, New York Lawyers facing sanctions for submitting fictitious case citations generated by ChatGPT to the court. In *Occidental Petroleum v Ecuador*, a mistranslation led to a loss of a claim, highlighting the consequences of inaccurate translations. Judges in Texas and Pennsylvania have issued standing orders requiring disclosure of AI in drafting pleadings and certification of their accuracy. *Alan Bates and Others v Post Office Limited* highlights the Horizon / Post Office software errors that led to tragic cases of subpostmasters being wrongly prosecuted and imprisoned for crimes they had not committed.

The Federal Court of Australia case criticized the use of technology, particularly against marginalized and vulnerable individuals in a case titled *Prygodzicz v Commonwealth of Australia*. Many individuals felt ashamed and hurt when labeled as "welfare cheats," leading to tragic incidents like a mother blaming her son's suicide for government targeting. The Australian Government established a Royal Commission to investigate the Robo-debt scheme and its risks.

Digital Regulations

Digital regulations are crucial in regulating the use of technology, ensuring accountability, privacy, innovation, and user protection while striking a balance between technological advancement and societal values. The discussion is as under:-

Artificial Intelligence Act, 2024

The European Parliament approved the EU Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) on March 13, 2024. The Act regulates AI system use across the EU to ensure safety and prohibit harmful AI practices. It affects various entities within and outside the EU under specific conditions, defining AI systems as machine-based with varying autonomy. The Act implements a tiered regulatory framework, categorizing AI systems by risk levels with corresponding obligations and penalties. Prohibited practices include exploiting vulnerabilities, subliminal manipulation, and social scoring. High-risk AI systems in critical sectors require stricter regulations, and general-purpose AI models must provide technical documentation and comply with EU copyright laws. Non-compliance can result in fines of up to EUR 35 million or 7% of global annual turnover. The Act complements the GDPR, ensuring accountability and transparency in AI deployment, with individuals entitled to explanations and able to file complaints for suspected infringements.

Digital Services Act (DSA), 2022

The Digital Services Act (DSA) of 2022 stands as a pivotal legislative measure designed to oversee digital services within the European Union (EU). Notably, Iceland, Liechtenstein, and Norway collectively submitted a joint EEA EFTA Comment regarding the proposed Digital Services Act, a central component of the EU's overarching digital strategy. Analysis Mason assessed internet-based services and platforms in Norway in response to the upcoming Digital Services Act (DSA), which will apply to all digital services in the EU starting January 1, 2024. The DSA aims to enhance user safety, establish transparency and accountability, and create a unified regulatory framework across the European Economic Area (EEA). Intermediary services under the DSA are categorized into mere conduit, caching, and hosting services. The survey found mere conduit services to be the most numerous at 423, followed by online platforms with 250 services, and hosting services with 213. The DSA allows intermediary services to be provided independently, as part of another service, or concurrently with other services. Not all surveyed services in Norway were likely captured, especially those associated with online platforms lacking a physical presence in the country. In Denmark, the European Commission proposed new regulations under the Digital Services Act to address unsafe and illicit products sold by third-country vendors on online marketplaces, which threaten consumer safety and European traders. While the proposal is supported by the Danish

Chamber of Commerce, it does not fully resolve the issue of hazardous products on these platforms.

Arbitration in the Digital Age

The evolution of technology is reshaping the dynamics among individuals, enterprises, and governmental bodies, offering a spectrum of possibilities alongside potential hazards, notably an escalation in dispute susceptibility. The proliferation of AI and the European Union's initiatives in tech regulation may engender novel disputes within investor-state and commercial spheres. Technology firms encounter heightened exposure to mass consumer arbitrations. Consequently, the arbitration field is proactively addressing the risks associated with AI. The modifications prompted by the pandemic are poised to have a profound impact on the global dispute resolution landscape and the arbitration framework, necessitating the implementation of a fresh paradigm. Navigating the escalating intricacy of international arbitration cases poses a formidable obstacle to dispute resolution, with the prospect of ensuing delays and costs. The adjudication of such matters is rendered challenging by the extensive array of factual evidence, technical intricacies, and complex legal facets involved. The integration of technology, such as e-discovery tools, offers a means to efficiently manage voluminous documentation and data, thereby facilitating the discernment of pertinent evidence and streamlining the arbitration process.

The ICC Arbitration actively promotes the electronic submission of application files, advocating for the online filing of cases. Central to this initiative is the ICC Case Connect, a secure online case management system that fosters connectivity among parties, arbitral tribunals, and the ICC Secretariat, facilitating seamless communication and document-sharing throughout arbitral proceedings. Similarly, the Stockholm Chamber of Commerce (SCC) has introduced the SCC Platform, a secure digital platform designed to streamline arbitration proceedings. Launched in May 2019, this platform offers end-to-end services, from the initial request to the rendering of awards, with a focus on enhancing efficiency, simplicity, transparency, and security. It enables parties, counsels, and arbitral tribunals to exchange documents and communicate seamlessly throughout the proceedings. Access to the platform is restricted to participants involved in ongoing proceedings, ensuring confidentiality, while robust security measures, including military-grade encryption and malware scanning, safeguard the integrity of uploaded files. Ultimately, the platform aims to promote efficiency, simplicity, transparency, and security by providing a user-friendly, efficient, and secure avenue for all participants involved in the arbitration process.

Future of Arbitration

The future of arbitration is undergoing a significant transformation due to advancements in technology, particularly Generative AI, which is reshaping the legal profession. Sir Geoffrey Vos highlighted the impact of digitization and AI on dispute resolution, stating that these advancements will alter the nature of disputes and redefine how they are resolved. Initiatives like the UK's push towards digitizing civil justice and the establishment of the Online Procedure Rule Committee are echoed in this sentiment. The COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated digitalization in arbitration, leading to the rise of virtual hearings and online case management platforms. These platforms are transforming the arbitration landscape by offering more efficient and technologically enabled dispute resolution mechanisms. The legal profession faces a critical juncture: whether to embrace innovation or cling to traditional practices. Sir Geoffrey Vos advocates for the constructive use of technology to provide better, quicker, and more cost-effective services to clients while acknowledging the need to mitigate risks associated with new technologies. Businesses are increasingly embracing technology in arbitration despite lawyers' caution, seeking efficiency in a perceived stagnant field. Emerging online dispute resolution platforms offer promise for quicker resolution, hinting at a future arbitration landscape balancing tradition and innovation.

Summary of Key Findings

Nordic dispute resolution is undergoing a digital transformation, with the adoption of digital platforms and AI tools enhancing efficiency and cost reduction. The EU Artificial Intelligence Act (AI Act) is being implemented to protect AI systems, consumer rights, and transparency. The rise of digital business transactions, including block-chain and smart contracts, is reshaping disputes in Nordic arbitration. However, challenges like data privacy, cybersecurity, and ethical use of AI tools need to be addressed. Interdisciplinary collaboration between legal professionals, technology experts, economists, and policymakers is crucial to develop innovative solutions and maximize the benefits of digitalization in dispute resolution.

How has the digitalization of dispute resolution processes impacted the cost and time efficiency of arbitration in Nordic countries?

The digitalization of dispute resolution processes in Nordic countries has significantly improved the cost and time efficiency of arbitration. Digital platforms and online case management systems have streamlined administrative tasks, allowing parties to file documents, schedule hearings, and communicate with arbitrators and opposing counsel more efficiently. Virtual hearings have eliminated travel and delays, leading to faster case resolution and reduced time to decision. Digital technologies also lower

administrative costs by automating routine tasks and reducing the need for physical documentation. Accessibility to arbitration has been enhanced, with virtual hearings removing geographical barriers and facilitating efficient evidence management.

How has digitization affected the accessibility of arbitration for businesses and individuals in the Nordic region?

Digitalization has significantly improved the accessibility of arbitration in the Nordic region. It allows parties, witnesses, and legal representatives to participate in arbitration proceedings remotely, eliminating the need for physical presence. This reduces travel costs, making arbitration more financially viable for those with limited resources. Virtual hearings offer greater flexibility in scheduling, allowing parties to coordinate hearing dates and times more efficiently. Digital platforms also streamline the process of filing documents, submissions, and evidence electronically, eliminating the need for physical delivery or mailing. This reduces administrative burdens and ensures faster document processing. Digitalization also enhances communication among parties, arbitrators, and arbitral institutions involved in the arbitration process. Email, video conferencing, and online messaging platforms facilitate real-time communication and collaboration, enabling parties to exchange information, negotiate settlements, and resolve disputes more effectively. Overall, digitalization has democratized access to justice by making arbitration more accessible, convenient, and cost-effective for businesses and individuals.

How are Nordic legal frameworks adapting to the digitalization of arbitration?

Nordic countries are embracing digitalization in arbitration through legislative reforms facilitating electronic communications, virtual hearings, and online case management. Amendments to arbitration laws and specific regulations accommodate electronic signatures and documents, enhancing efficiency and accessibility. Remote hearings are supported, clarifying procedures for witness testimony and digital evidence presentation. Data protection laws like GDPR address privacy concerns. Legal professionals receive training on digital technologies, ensuring arbitration remains efficient and effective in the digital age.

What new skills and expertise do arbitrators and lawyers need to develop in the digital age of Nordic arbitration?

In the digital age of Nordic arbitration, arbitrators and lawyers need to enhance their skills in technical proficiency, cybersecurity awareness, e-discovery and digital evidence, remote communication and collaboration, adaptability and innovation, and cross-disciplinary knowledge. Technical proficiency involves understanding digital

technologies, cybersecurity awareness involves being vigilant about threats, and e-discovery and digital evidence skills involve collecting, analyzing, and presenting electronic evidence. Remote communication and collaboration skills are crucial, as remote hearings and virtual proceedings become more common. Adaptability and innovation are essential in the rapidly evolving digital landscape of arbitration. Cross-disciplinary knowledge in technology, data science, cybersecurity, and regulatory compliance can help arbitrators and lawyers address complex issues more comprehensively and provide informed guidance to parties involved in arbitration.

What best practices can be shared between Nordic countries and other jurisdictions regarding the digitalization of arbitration?

Nordic countries can improve the digitalization of arbitration by promoting digital literacy, investing in infrastructure, standardizing procedures, ensuring data security, facilitating remote participation, embracing innovation, promoting transparency and accountability, collaborating with stakeholders, and monitoring the effectiveness of digital arbitration processes. These practices aim to enhance efficiency, accessibility, and fairness in dispute resolution processes. Digital literacy is encouraged through training and resources on digital tools and technologies, while infrastructure is developed for remote and digital arbitration proceedings. Standardized procedures, data security measures, and remote participation are facilitated through videoconferencing technology and collaboration among key stakeholders. Monitoring and evaluation mechanisms are also established to continuously improve digital infrastructure and procedures.

Conclusion

The research on technology's impact on dispute resolution in the Nordic region, as evidenced by Leikin, et al., (2024); Landay, et al., (2024); Gaumond et al., (2023) and case law including *Soleymani v. Nifty Gateway LLC* and *Payward v. Chechetkin*, underscores both the potential benefits and challenges of digitalization. While technologies like AI and blockchain promise increased efficiency and cost reduction, they also pose concerns regarding privacy, security, and fairness. As hypothesized, there are economic benefits alongside heightened complexity, emphasizing the necessity of a balanced approach to AI adoption, as advocated by Leikin, et al., (2024) and Landay, et al., (2024). Ensuring enforceability in arbitration clauses, especially in cross-border disputes, is paramount, as demonstrated by case law. Additionally, environmental sustainability emerges as a crucial consideration, calling for measures to minimize the carbon footprint of digital arbitration platforms. Looking ahead, the integration of technology in Nordic dispute resolution could be enhanced through the development of hybrid arbitration procedures, comprehensive regulatory frameworks like the EU Artificial Intelligence Act, a focus on human rights and ethical

considerations, promotion of environmental sustainability, and ongoing education and training for legal practitioners. By addressing these future directions and embracing innovation while upholding core principles of fairness, transparency, and due process, Nordic countries can continue to lead the way in shaping the future of arbitration in the digital age.

Recommendations

Nordic dispute resolution is advancing through digitalization, enhancing efficiency and effectiveness in arbitration. Key steps involve investing in digital infrastructure like secure online platforms and electronic document management systems, alongside providing training for legal professionals on digital tools. Standardized procedures for digital arbitration can ensure consistency and streamline case management. Online dispute resolution (ODR) platforms offer accessible alternatives, particularly for low-value disputes, while prioritizing data security and privacy. Remote participation fosters inclusivity, enabling global involvement. Innovation with emerging technologies optimizes case management and accountability fosters trust. Collaboration among stakeholders drives innovation and addresses challenges. Monitoring and evaluation ensure ongoing improvement. Implementing these strategies can modernize arbitration, boost access to justice, and fortify dispute-resolution mechanisms in the Nordic region and beyond.

Policy Implications

Digitalization is transforming Nordic dispute resolution, impacting economics, arbitration, and technology. Policymakers must update regulatory frameworks to address technology's role, including AI and block-chain, and promote innovation through incentives for research and development. Investment in digital infrastructure, cybersecurity, and case management platforms is vital for reliable, secure, and accessible arbitration processes. Capacity building ensures stakeholders possess necessary digital skills, while strengthened data protection regulations safeguard against breaches and misuse. International cooperation is key for consistency across jurisdictions, involving collaboration with organizations and countries to develop common frameworks. Policymakers must ensure digitalization promotes inclusivity and access to justice, bridging the digital divide and designing user-friendly platforms. By proactively addressing these policy implications, policymakers can enhance dispute resolution's effectiveness and accessibility in the digital age while upholding principles of justice and equity.

Limitation

Research on digitalization trends in Nordic dispute resolution faces various limitations, including data scarcity, confidentiality issues, and diverse legal systems

impacting the region differently. Rapid technological advancements and regulatory changes make findings quickly outdated, while methodological challenges hinder causality between digitization efforts and outcomes. Interdisciplinary collaboration is crucial due to the multifaceted nature of digitalization trends, alongside ethical considerations regarding data privacy and consent. Limited resources constrain research scope and scale. Overcoming these limitations demands meticulous research design, methodological approaches, and collaboration across disciplines and stakeholders.

Future Directions for Research

Future studies should delve into digitalization's impact on dispute resolution efficiency, evaluate legal frameworks for digital arbitration, gauge user satisfaction with digital platforms, address cybersecurity and data protection issues, and probe AI's ethical and legal implications. Comparative research can offer global insights, while interdisciplinary approaches can enrich the understanding of digitalization's complex dynamics. Long-term impacts on the legal profession, including changes in education and ethics, warrant examination. By assessing existing frameworks, identifying gaps, and proposing reforms, research can foster innovation, fairness, and legal certainty. Scholars can thus contribute to evidence-based policymaking and practice, advancing Nordic dispute resolution in the digital age.

References

- Alan Bates and Others v Post Office Limited. Judgment (No.3) of 15-03-2019. "Common Issues" [2019] EWHC 606 (QB). <https://mediation.com.sg/wp-content/uploads/sites/6/2024/01/4-2019-EWHC-606-Alan-Bates-v-Post-Office-No.-3.pdf>
- Luccioni, A. S., Viguier, S., & Ligozat, A. L. (2023). Estimating the carbon footprint of bloom, a 176b parameter language model. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 24(253), 1-15. <https://www.jmlr.org/papers/volume24/23-0069/23-0069.pdf>.
- Simons, J. (2023). Algorithms for the People: Democracy in the Age of AI. <https://enyolaw.com/wp-content/uploads/2024/03/Soleymani-v-Nifty-Gateway-LLC-Judgment-31-01-24.pdf>
- Teo, E. A. L., & Aibinu, A. A. (2007). Legal framework for alternative dispute resolution: Examination of the Singapore national legal system for arbitration. *Journal of Professional Issues in Engineering Education and Practice*, 133(2), 148-157.
- Piers, M., & Aschauer, C. (Eds.). (2018). *Arbitration in the digital age: the brave new world of arbitration*. Cambridge University Press.

- Madigan, T. (2021). Artificial intelligence act. *European Parliament: European Parliamentary Research Service*.
https://www.europarl.europa.eu/doceo/document/TA-9-2023-0236_EN.html
- Butt, J. (2023). The Impact of Artificial Intelligence (AI) on the Efficiency of Administrative Decision Making Including Ethical & Legal Considerations and Comparative Study about Countries Already Incorporated AI for Administrative Decisions. *Acta Universitatis Danubius. Juridica*, 19(3), 7-25. <https://dj.univ-danubius.ro/index.php/AUDJ/article/view/2560>.
- Chumba, V. (2024). Environmental Justice Through the Lens of Conflict Resolution: Its Influence on Sustainability. Available at SSRN 4712310. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4712310>
- De'Shazer, M. (2024). Advancing Legal Reasoning: The Integration of AI to Navigate Complexities and Biases in Global Jurisprudence with Semi-Automated Arbitration Processes (SAAPs). *arXiv preprint arXiv:2402.04140*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.04140>
- Ross, J. W., Beath, C. M., & Mocker, M. (2019). *Designed for digital: How to architect your business for sustained success*. MIT Press.
- Wilman, F. (2022). The Digital Services Act (DSA)-An Overview. Available at SSRN 4304586. [https://enterprise.gov.ie/en/what-we-do/the-business-environment/digital-single-market/eu-digital-single-market-aspects/digital-services-act/#:~:text=The%20Digital%20Services%20Act%20\(DSA,States%20from%2017%20February%202024.](https://enterprise.gov.ie/en/what-we-do/the-business-environment/digital-single-market/eu-digital-single-market-aspects/digital-services-act/#:~:text=The%20Digital%20Services%20Act%20(DSA,States%20from%2017%20February%202024.)
- Sacolick, I. (2022). *Digital Trailblazer: Essential Lessons to Jumpstart Transformation and Accelerate Your Technology Leadership*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Browning, H., & John, G. (2024). Robot Lawyers Don't Have Disciplinary Hearings—Real Lawyers Do: The Ethical Risks and Responses in Using Generative Artificial Intelligence. *Georgia State University Law Review*, 40(4), 917. <https://www.paed.uscourts.gov/sites/paed/files/documents/locrules/standord/Standing%20Order%20Re%20Artificial%20Intelligence%206.6.pdf>
<https://www.efta.int/EEA/news/EEA-EFTA-States-highlight-how-Digital-Services-Act-can-contribute-safer-online-environment-525946>
- Eric Leikin, Lutz Riede, Matthias Hofer, and Sue Ng. (2024). "Technology Disputes and Arbitration." *The European Arbitration Review* 2024. Retrieved from <https://globalarbitrationreview.com/review/the-european-arbitrationreview/2024/article/technologydisputes-and-arbitration#footnote-043>.
- Exhibit 2-2598 - RBD.9999.0001.0225 - Prygodzicz v Commonwealth of Australia (No 2) [2021] FCA 634. Retrieved from

- <https://robodebt.royalcommission.gov.au/publications/exhibit-2-2598-rbd999900010225-rygodicz-v-commonwealth-australia-no-2-2021-fca-634>
- Ferris Piqueras, M. (2024). Application of the Minimum Interoperability Mechanisms (MIMS) Prospective Standard to the EU Data Space for Smart Communities for Certification of the Context Information Manager (MIM1) for the Company Libelium Under the EU Compliance Testing Infrastructure. Universitat Politècnica de València. <http://hdl.handle.net/10251/203070>
- Gaumond, Eve, and Catherine Régis. "Assessing Impacts of AI on Human Rights: It's Not Solely About Privacy and Nondiscrimination." Lawfare Media. Friday, January 27, 2023, 8:16 AM. Retrieved from <https://www.lawfaremedia.org/article/assessing-impacts-of-ai-on-human-rights-it-s-not-solely-about-privacy-and-nondiscrimination>
- Guardrails: Guiding Human Decisions in the Age of AI by Urs Gasser and Viktor Mayer-Schönberger.
- Handbook of ICC arbitral Id. (administrative fines of up to €30 million or 6 percent of the total worldwide annual turnover depending on the severity of the infringement are set as sanctions for noncompliance with the AI act). See also General Data Protection Regulation, supra note 91, art. 83 (administrative fines up to €20 million or up to 4 percent of the total worldwide annual turnover of the preceding financial year, whichever is higher).
- Jo Feldman, Nuncio D'Angelo, Lisa Koch, & Alan de Rochefort-Reynolds. (2023). The hidden economy of international arbitration: Opportunities for banks and investors in mining and resources companies. Retrieved from <https://www.nortonrosefulbright.com/zh-hk/knowledge/publications/94fa7852/the-hidden-economy-of-international-arbitration>
- Jones, Kate. (2024). "AI governance and human rights: Resetting the relationship." Research Paper. International Law Programme, January 2023. Chatham House, the Royal Institute of International Affairs. London. Retrieved from <https://www.chathamhouse.org/2023/01/ai-governance-and-human-rights/07-conclusion-and-recommendations>
- Katharine Miller (2024). "Spotting Visual Signs of Gentrification at Scale." *Economy and Markets*. Retrieved from: <https://hai.stanford.edu/news/spotting-visual-signs-gentrification-scale>.
- Łągiewska, M. 2022. The New Landscape of Arbitration in View of Digitalization, [in:] *The Impact of Covid on International Disputes*, eds. Shaheez Lalani, Steven G. Shapiro, "International and Comparative Business Law and Public Policy" vol. 2, Leiden-Boston, p. 208. Retrieved from https://www.academia.edu/106672824/The_New_Landscape_of_Arbitration_in_View_of_Digitalization

- Łagiewska, M. New Technologies in International Arbitration: A Game-Changer in Dispute Resolution?. *Int J Semiot Law* (2023).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11196-023-10070-7>
- Leikin, E., Riede, L., Hofer, M., & Ng, S. (2023). Technology Disputes and Arbitration. *Freshfields Bruckhaus Deringer*. Retrieved from <https://globalarbitrationreview.com/review/the-european-arbitration-review/2024/article/technology-disputes-and-arbitration>
- Menezes, T. (2021). Remote hearings in international arbitration. *Jus Corpus LJ*, 2, 440. Retrieved from https://heinonline.org/HOL/Page?handle=hein.journals/juscrp2&div=404&g_sent=1&casa_token=
- Mohamed Hafez (2023). "Remote hearings and the use of technology in arbitration." The Cairo Regional Centre for International Commercial Arbitration (CRCICA). Retrieved from <https://globalarbitrationreview.com/review/the-middle-eastern-and-african-arbitration-review/2023/article/remote-hearings-and-the-use-of-technology-in-arbitration>.
- Occidental Petroleum Corporation and Occidental Exploration and Production Company v. The Republic of Ecuador, ICSID Case No. ARB/06/11. Retrieved from <https://www.italaw.com/cases/767>
- Payward Inc., Payward Ventures Inc., Payward Limited v Maxim Chechetkin [2023] EWHC 1780 (Comm), Retrieved from: <https://3vb.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/07/CL-2023-000029-Payward-v-Chechetkin-final-Judgment39.pdf>
- Pöysti, T. The Precautionary Approach Design Pattern. *DISO* 3, 5 (2024).
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-024-00090-6>
- Prifti, K., & Fosch-Villaronga, E. (2024). "Towards experimental standardization for AI governance in the EU." Version of Record 19 March 2024. Erasmus School of Law, Erasmus University Rotterdam, the Netherlands. eLaw Center for Law and Digital Technologies, Leiden University, the Netherlands. Retrieved from <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0267364924000268>
- Qiu, Q. AI arbitration used for dispute in Guangzhou, "China Daily" 01.09.2023, <https://global.chinadaily.com.cn/a/202309/01/WS64f13406a310d2dce4bb34ad.html>.
- Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 27 April 2016 on the Protection of Natural Persons with Regard to the Processing of Personal Data and on the Free Movement of Such Data, and Repealing Directive 95/46/EC (General Data Protection Regulation), 2016 O.J. (L 119/1), available at <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX:32016R0679#PP3Contents>

- Sara Merken, New York Lawyers Sanctioned for Using Fake ChatGPT Cases in Legal Brief, REUTERS (June 26, 2023), <https://www.reuters.com/legal/new-york-lawyers-sanctioned-using-fake-chatgpt-cases-legal-brief-2023-06-22/>
- Scott, Colby E. "Digital Hearings — In Arbitration and Litigation." *Arbitration Law Review*, Volume 15, Article 12, 3-1-2024. Penn State Law. Retrieved from <https://elibrary.law.psu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1322&context=arbitrationlawreview>
- Sundaresh, M. 2022. The complexification of disputes in the digital age. *Asia Pacific Law Review* 30 (1): 1. Retrieved from <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/10192557.2022.2045704>
- The Cold Start Problem: How to Start and Scale Network Effects by Andrew Chen
- The McNair Lecture – Lincolns Inn – Wednesday 19 April 2023. Speech by the Master of the Rolls – The future of London as a pre-eminent dispute resolution centre: opportunities and challenges. Retrieved from: <https://www.judiciary.uk/speech-by-the-master-of-the-rolls-the-future-of-london-as-a-pre-eminent-dispute-resolution-centre-opportunities-and-challenges/>
- The Nordic Economic, Social and Political Model Challenges in the 21st Century by AnuKoivunen, JariOjala, JanneHolmén.
- The Nordic Model: Scandinavia since 1945 by Mary Hilson
- The World Economic Forum (2024) at Davos, Switzerland. Retrieved from <https://hai.stanford.edu/news/davos-2024-six-takeaways-ai-conversation-wef>
- This approach has reportedly been taken with some US states and judges (eg, the US District Courts for the Northern District of Texas and the Northern District of Illinois), as well as the Canadian Court of King’s Bench of Manitoba and the Canadian Supreme Court of Yukon.
- Viking Economics: How the Scandinavians Got It Right-and How We Can, Too by George Lakey.